OPTIONAL FORM NOT: 10
5010-104 Approved For Rèlease 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R001500100008-9
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

			,
11	1	/	1716
00/5	0	6,	1/6/
$\mathcal{U}^{\mathcal{A}}$	_		,

Director of Training

DATE: 22 March 1966

Chief/Management Training Faculty

SUBJECT:

25X1

Management Course #94

1. At the conclusion of our last Management Course 27 February-4 March 1966) we asked the eight wor	25X1
teams to identify problems which may be helping prevent the	K
full attainment of the Agency's goals and purpose. Each wor	k
team had from six to eight members representing a cross	
section of the Agency at the GS-11 - GS-14 level. A cate-	
gorized list of their findings is attached.	
2. You may find it of interest to review the thinking on Agency problems as seen by our "middle managers".	
	25X1

Attachment A/S

99. HE I S I SH . 20

Approved For Release 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R001500100008-9

PERSONNEL

- a. Failure to keep the Agency at large abreast of the latest thinking on fitness reports.
- Vb. Lack of a career training program that is effective.
 - c. Need for improved procedures for processing people to go overseas (e.g., medical examinations are scheduled too near departure dates, etc.)
 - d. Lack of identification of potential executive material and its development (e.g., by rotation throughout the Agency).
 - e. Need to improve the "stature" of discipline (to correct the lack evidenced in personnel assignments, parking violations, etc.)
- V f. Too much secrecy surrounding promotions.
- $\sqrt{\mathrm{g}}$. Lack of appropriate measures to stem the continuing loss of capable, qualified employees.
- √ h. Need for a more effective program to develop subordinates to move up in management.
- √ i. Lack of understanding at all levels within each career service of career service personnel policies.
 - j. Need for establishing uniform career service policies.
 - k. Ineffective Agency procedures for personnel evaluation and appraisal (fitness reports, career designation, development of executives, etc.)

"NEED TO KNOW"

- ¿ a. Too rigid application of the need-to-know principle.
- b. Over-compartmentalization (2).
- c. Insufficient feedback and consequent loss of morale because of too rigid interpretation of need-to-know.

Approved For Release 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R001500100008-9

- d. Use of security to cover up inefficiency or mistakes.
- e. Agency concentration on need-to-know (its institutional fixation) has been exaggerated and is counter-productive.

COMMUNICATIONS

- a. Need for a better understanding and appreciation of the functions and problems of each Directorate.
 - b. Need for "feedback" vertically and laterally.
 - c. Lack of awareness of our total assets how they can be maximized and how utilized.
 - d. Need to overcome the lack of knowledge of effective communications procedures.
 - e. Loss of communications effectiveness occasioned by the disruptive effect of frequent reorganizations.
- ✓ f. Need for feedback on Agency accomplishments to motivate
 middle and lower levels.

PLANNING

- Need for giving more thought and consideration to long range
 planning.
 - b. Need to review the present "hard-nosed" approach to operational goal setting (e.g. RMD's)
- c. Loss of Agency flexibility due to increase of bureaucracy.

GENERAL

- ∠ a. Need for identification of Agency and individual component purposes and goals.
 - b. Need for development of managerial methods to reduce lack of trust.

Approved For Release 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R001500100008-9

- V d. Need for a clearer understanding at all levels of the Agency's changing role and mission.
- ✓ e. Need for greater recognition and rapport among major components.
- f. Need for greater consistency in managerial styles among middle-managers.
 - g. The preponderant "hard" management style ("Theory X") is suppressing a potentially higher degree of commitment to Agency goals and policy.
- h. Agency organization and procedures over-emphasize staff and line concepts and consequences to a point where problem-solving becomes conflict resolution by rank and perquisite or none at all. There are too many committees and not enough teams.